

On Solving DC Optimization Problem over SOS-concave Matrix Polynomial Constraint

Gue Myung Lee^{1*} and Jae Hyung Lee²

¹ Department of Applied Mathematics, Pukyong National University
Busan 48513, Korea, E-Mail:gmlee@pknu.ac.kr

² Department of Applied Mathematics, Pukyong National University
Busan 48513, Korea, E-Mail:mc7558@naver.com

Abstract

- In this talk, we consider a DC optimization problem (P) with the difference of a SOS-convex polynomial and a support function as its objective function and with a SOS-concave matrix polynomial constraint.
- Using a set containment characterization, we derive a zero duality gap result under the Slater's condition for the DC optimization problem (P) and its dual problem $(D)^{\text{SOS}}$. Here the dual problem $(D)^{\text{SOS}}$ of (P) can be represented by a sum of squares relaxation problem, a semidefinite optimization problem (SDD), which is equivalent to $(D)^{\text{SOS}}$, is considered and its dual problem (SDP) of (SDD) is given.

Abstract

- Also, we present the relations of the optimal solution of (P) and the optimal solution of (SDP) , and the optimal solution of $(D)^{SOS}$ and (SDD) . The relations show us that (SDP) is an exact SDP relaxation for (P) , and (SDD) is an exact SDP relaxation for $(D)^{SOS}$.

Contents

- Introduction and Preliminaries
- Set Containment Characterization
- Exact SDP Relaxations

Introduction

- Recently, many authors [1, 2, 20, 29] have investigated SOS-convex polynomials and their applications. The class of SOS-convex polynomials include separable convex polynomials and convex quadratic functions as their special cases.

¹A.A. Ahmadi, P.A. Parrilo. A convex polynomial that is not SOS-convex, *Math. Program.*, 135 (2012).

²A. A. Ahmadi and P. A. Parrilo, A complete characterization of the gap between convexity and SOS-convexity, *SIAM J. Optim.*, 23 (2013).

²⁰J.W. Helton and J.W. Nie, Semidefinite representation of convex sets. *Math. Program*, 122 (2010).

²⁹V. Jeyakumar and J. Vicente-Pérez, V. Jeyakumar and J. Vicente-Pérez, Dual semidefinite programs without duality gaps for a class of convex minimax programs, *J. Optim. Theory Appl.*, 162 (2014).

Introduction

- The important feature of SOS-convexity, which distinguishes from convexity for polynomials is that one can numerically check whether a polynomial is SOS-convex or not by solving a related semi-definite optimization (feasibility) problem which can be solved efficiently via interior point methods [31].
- In particular, the gap between SOS-convex polynomial and convex polynomial is completely characterized in [2].

²A. A. Ahmadi and P. A. Parrilo, A complete characterization of the gap between convexity and SOS-convexity, *SIAM J. Optim.*, 23 (2013).

Introduction

- The exact semidefinite programming relaxation or strong duality involving dual semidefinite programs is a highly desirable property particularly because semidefinite programming can be efficiently solved (e.g. using interior point methods)

Preliminaries

Throughout this talk,

- \mathbb{R}^n denotes the Euclidean space with dimension n
- For a set A in \mathbb{R}^n , the convex hull of A is denoted by $\text{co}A$.
- A function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be convex if for all $\mu \in [0, 1]$,

$$f((1 - \mu)x + \mu y) \leq (1 - \mu)f(x) + \mu f(y)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

- The function f is said to be concave whenever $-f$ is convex.

Preliminaries

- We say that a real polynomial f is sum of squares if there exist real polynomials $f_j, j = 1, \dots, r$, such that $f = \sum_{j=1}^r f_j^2$.
- The set consisting of all sum of squares real polynomial is denoted by Σ^2 .
- Moreover, the set consisting of all sum of squares real polynomial with degree at most d is denoted by Σ_d^2 .

Preliminaries

- For a multi-index $\alpha := (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, let $|\alpha| := \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$. x^α denotes the monomial $x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}$.
- Consider the vector $v_d(x) = (x^\alpha)_{|\alpha| \leq d} = (1, x_1, \dots, x_n, x_1^2, x_1 x_2, \dots, x_{n-1} x_n, x_n^2, \dots, x_1^d, \dots, x_n^d)^T$, of all the monomials x^α of degree less than or equal to d , which has dimension $s(d) := \binom{n+d}{n}$. For details see [31].
- Let S^n be the set of $n \times n$ symmetric matrices and let $S_+^n = \{\Lambda \in S^n \mid \text{tr}(\Lambda X) \geq 0 \ \forall X \in S^n\}$. For $M, N \in S^n$, $M \succeq N$ (resp. $M \succ N$) if and only if $M - N$ is positive semidefinite (resp. positive definite).

³¹J. B. Lasserre, Moments, Positive Polynomials and Their Applications, Imperial College Press, 2009.

Preliminaries

We now introduce the definition of SOS-convex polynomials.

Definition 2.1. [1, 2, 20]

A real polynomial f on \mathbb{R}^n is called SOS-convex if the Hessian matrix function $H : x \mapsto \nabla_{xx}^2 f(x)$ is a SOS matrix polynomial, that is, there exist a matrix polynomial $F(x)$ such that

$$\nabla_{xx}^2 f(x) = F(x)F(x)^T,$$

equivalently, for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$,

$$\lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y) - f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y)$$

is a sum of squares polynomial in $\mathbb{R}[x; y]$ (with respect to variables x and y). Moreover, we say f is SOS-concave if $-f$ is SOS-convex.

Preliminaries

- Clearly, a SOS-convex polynomial is convex. However, the converse is not true.
- Thus, there exists a convex polynomial which is not SOS-convex [1, 2].
- The degree of a polynomial g is denoted by $\deg g$.
- Let us denote the set of convex and SOS-convex polynomials in n variables of degree d by $\tilde{C}_{n,d}$ and $\tilde{\Sigma}C_{n,d}$, respectively. Then $\tilde{C}_{n,d} = \tilde{\Sigma}C_{n,d}$ if and only if $n = 1$ or $d = 2$ or $(n, d) = (2, 4)$ [2].

Preliminaries

Now we introduce the definition of concave matrix.

Definition 2.2.

$(m \times m)$ polynomial symmetric matrix $G(x)$ is called concave if for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and any $\lambda \in [0, 1]$,

$$G((1 - \lambda)x + \lambda y) \succeq (1 - \lambda)G(x) + \lambda G(y).$$

Preliminaries

Now we give the properties of concave matrix.

Remark 2.1.

Let $G(x)$ be a symmetric $(m \times m)$ polynomial matrix. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) $G(x)$ is concave;
- (ii) For all $\Lambda \in S_+^m$, $-\langle \Lambda, G(x) \rangle$ is convex, where $\langle \Lambda, G(x) \rangle = \text{tr}(\Lambda G(x))$;
- (iii) For all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $-\langle \xi \xi^T, G(x) \rangle$ is convex;
- (iv) For all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $-\xi^T G(x) \xi$ is convex;
- (v) For all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $-\nabla_{xx}^2(\xi^T G(x) \xi) \succeq 0$.

Preliminaries

The definition of SOS-concave matrix is as follows:

Definition 2.3.

A symmetric $(m \times m)$ polynomial matrix $G(x)$ is called SOS-concave matrix if for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^m$, there exists a polynomial matrix $F_\xi(x)$ in x such that

$$-\nabla_{xx}^2(\xi^T G(x)\xi) = F_\xi(x)^T F_\xi(x).$$

Preliminaries

Now we give the properties of SOS-concave matrix.

Remark 2.2.

Let $G(x)$ be a symmetric $(m \times m)$ polynomial matrix. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) $G(x)$ is SOS-concave;
- (ii) For all $\Lambda \in S_+^m$, $-\langle \Lambda, G(x) \rangle$ is SOS-convex;
- (iii) For all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $-\langle \xi \xi^T, G(x) \rangle$ is SOS-convex;
- (iv) For all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $-\xi^T G(x) \xi$ is SOS-convex.

Preliminaries

The following simple example illustrates a SOS-concave matrix polynomial.

Example 2.1.

Consider a matrix polynomial

$$G(x_1, x_2) = \begin{pmatrix} -x_1^2 - 4x_1 - 3 - x_2^2 & x_2 \\ x_2 & -x_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, for all $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2)^T \in \mathbb{R}^2$,

$$-\xi^T G(x_1, x_2) \xi = \xi_1^2 x_1^2 + \xi_1^2 x_2^2 + 4\xi_1^2 x_1 + (\xi_2^2 - 2\xi_1 \xi_2) x_2 + 3\xi_1^2.$$

and

Preliminaries

Example 2.1. cont.

$$-\nabla_{xx}^2(\xi^T G(x)\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} 2\xi_1^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2\xi_1^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2}\xi_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{2}\xi_1 \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2}\xi_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{2}\xi_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

So, $-\xi^T G(x)\xi$ is a SOS-convex polynomial. It follows from Remark 2.2 (iv) that $G(x)$ is a SOS-concave matrix polynomial.

Preliminaries

We now introduce how to recognize whether a polynomial can be written as a sum of squares via positive semidefinite programming.

Proposition 2.1. [31]

A polynomial $g \in \mathbb{R}[x]_{2d}$ has a sum of squares decomposition if and only if there exists a real symmetric and positive semidefinite matrix $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{s(d) \times s(d)}$ such that $g(x) = v_d(x)^T Q v_d(x)$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Now we let $v_d(x)v_d(x)^T = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} x^\alpha B_\alpha$, where B_α are $s(d) \times s(d)$ real symmetric matrices, Then $g(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} g_\alpha x^\alpha$ is a sum of squares if and only if solving the following semidefinite feasibility problem [31]:

Find $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{s(d) \times s(d)}$ such that

$$Q = Q^T, \quad Q \succeq 0, \quad \langle Q, B_\alpha \rangle = g_\alpha, \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n.$$

Set Containment Characterization

Under Slater's condition, we can obtain the following set containment result:

Theorem 3.1.

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a SOS-convex polynomial and let $G(x)$ be a $(m \times m)$ symmetric SOS-concave matrix polynomial. Let

$h(x) = \max_{u \in \text{co}\{v_1, \dots, v_l\}} u^T x$, where $v_1, \dots, v_l \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Assume that

$K := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : G(x) \succeq 0\} \neq \emptyset$. Assume that there exists $\hat{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $G(\hat{x}) \succ 0$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : G(x) \succeq 0\} \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : f(x) - h(x) \geq 0\}$;
- (ii) For each $i = 1, \dots, l$, there exist $\Lambda_i \in S_+^m$ such that

$$f - v_i^T(\cdot) - \langle \Lambda_i, G(\cdot) \rangle \in \Sigma^2.$$

Exact SDP Relaxations

The following DC optimization problem is our problem which is treated in this talk:

$$(P) \quad \inf \{f(x) - h(x)\} \\ \text{s.t. } G(x) \succeq 0,$$

where $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a SOS-convex polynomial, $G(x)$ is a symmetric $(m \times m)$ SOS-concave matrix polynomial and $h(x) = \max_{u \in \text{co}\{v_1, \dots, v_l\}} u^T x$, for $v_1, \dots, v_l \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $K := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : G(x) \succeq 0\}$.

In sequel, we assume that the optimal value of (P) is finite. Moreover, the problem (P) can be rewritten as follows:

$$\min_{i=1, \dots, l} \inf_{x \in K} \{f(x) - v_i^T x\}.$$

Exact SDP Relaxations

The Lagrangian dual problem for (P) is given by

$$(LD) \quad \min_{i=1, \dots, l} \sup_{\Lambda \in S_+^m} \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \{f(x) - v_i^T x - \langle \Lambda_i, G(x) \rangle\}.$$

which can equivalently be written as

$$(D) \quad \min_{i=1, \dots, l} \sup_{\mu_i \in \mathbb{R}, \Lambda \in S_+^m} \{\mu_i \in \mathbb{R} \mid f(x) - v_i^T x - \langle \Lambda_i, G(x) \rangle - \mu_i \geq 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$$

A sum of squares relaxation problem of (D) is as follows :

$$(D)^{\text{SOS}} \quad \min_{i=1, \dots, l} \sup_{\mu_i \in \mathbb{R}, \Lambda_i \in S_+^m} \{\mu_i \in \mathbb{R} \mid f - v_i^T(\cdot) - \langle \Lambda_i, G(\cdot) \rangle - \mu_i \in \Sigma^2\}.$$

Exact SDP Relaxations

From Proposition 2.1, $f - v_i^T(\cdot) - \langle \Lambda, G(\cdot) \rangle - \mu \in \Sigma_d^2$ if and only if there exists a symmetric matrix $X \in \mathbb{R}^{s(d) \times s(d)}$ such that

$$f - v_i^T(\cdot) - \langle \Lambda, G(\cdot) \rangle - \mu = v_d(x)^T X v_d(x),$$

that is, $\sum_{\alpha} f_{\alpha} x_{\alpha} - \langle \Lambda, \sum_{\alpha} G_{\alpha} x_{\alpha} \rangle - \mu = \sum_{\alpha} \langle X, B_{\alpha} \rangle x_{\alpha}$. i.e., $f_0 - \langle \Lambda, G_0 \rangle - \mu = 0$ and $\langle \Lambda, G_{\alpha} \rangle + \langle X, B_{\alpha} \rangle = f_{\alpha} - (v_i^T(\cdot))_{\alpha}$, $\alpha \neq 0$, where $f(x) = \sum_{\alpha} f_{\alpha} x_{\alpha}$, $v_i^T(\cdot) = \sum_{\alpha} (v_i^T(\cdot))_{\alpha}$, $G(x) = \sum_{\alpha} G_{\alpha} x_{\alpha}$ and $v_d(x)^T v_d(x) = \sum_{\alpha} x_{\alpha} B_{\alpha}$. Thus (D)^{SOS} can be rewritten as the following semidefinite problem:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(SDD)} \quad & \min_{i=1, \dots, l} \quad \sup_{X, \Lambda_i} \quad f_0 - \langle \Lambda_i, G_0 \rangle - \langle X, B_0 \rangle \\
 & \text{s.t.} \quad \langle \Lambda_i, G_{\alpha} \rangle + \langle X, B_{\alpha} \rangle = f_{\alpha} - (v_i^T(\cdot))_{\alpha}, \\
 & \quad \alpha \neq 0, \quad X \succeq 0, \quad X = X^T, \quad \Lambda_i \in S_+^m.
 \end{aligned}$$

Exact SDP Relaxations

The dual problem of (SDD) is the following semidefinite problem (SDP):

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(SDP)} \quad & \min_{i=1, \dots, l} \quad \inf_y \quad f_0 + \sum_{\alpha \neq 0} (f - v_i^T(\cdot))_{\alpha} y_{\alpha} \\ & \text{s.t.} \quad G_0 + \sum_{\alpha \neq 0} y_{\alpha} G_{\alpha} \succeq 0, \quad B_0 + \sum_{\alpha \neq 0} y_{\alpha} B_{\alpha} \succeq 0. \end{aligned}$$

(SDP) is our exact SDP relaxation for (P). (SDD) is our exact SDP relaxation for (D)^{SOS}.

Exact SDP Relaxations

Now, using the result of Theorem 3.1 (Set Containment Characterization), we give a zero duality gap result for (P), (D)^{SOS}, (SDD) and (SDP) under the Slater's condition.

Theorem 4.1. (Zero duality gap)

Assume that $\inf (P) := f^*$ is finite and the Slater condition holds, that is, there exists $\hat{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $G(\hat{x}) \succ 0$. Let $K := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid G(x) \succeq 0\} \neq \emptyset$. Then

$$\text{Val}(P) = \text{Val}(D)^{\text{SOS}} = \text{Val}(\text{SDD}) = \text{Val}(\text{SDP}),$$

where $\text{Val}(P)$, $\text{Val}(D)^{\text{SOS}}$, $\text{Val}(\text{SDD})$ and $\text{Val}(\text{SDP})$ represent the optimal values of (P), (D)^{SOS}, (SDD) and (SDP), respectively.

Exact SDP Relaxations

Now, we give the relations of the optimal solution of (P) and the optimal solution of (SDP), and the optimal solution of (D)^{SOS} and (SDD).

Theorem 4.2.

Assume that $\inf (P) := f^*$ is finite and the Slater condition holds, that is, there exists $\hat{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $G(\hat{x}) \succ 0$. Let $K := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid G(x) \succeq 0\} \neq \emptyset$. Then the following statements hold:

- (i) \bar{x} is a minimizer of (P) iff the vector $\bar{y} := (\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n, \bar{x}_1^2, \bar{x}_1\bar{x}_2, \dots, \bar{x}_1^{2d}, \dots, \bar{x}_n^{2d})$ is a minimizer of (SDP).
- (ii) $(\bar{\Lambda}_{i_0}, \bar{\mu}_{i_0}) \in S_+^m \times \mathbb{R}$ is a maximizer of (D)^{SOS} iff $(\bar{\Lambda}_{i_0}, \bar{X}) \in S_+^m \times S_+^{s(d)}$ is a maximizer of (SDD) for some $\bar{X} = \sum_{k=1}^r \bar{q}_k^{i_0} \bar{q}_k^{i_0 T}$ and $\bar{q}_k^{i_0} \in \mathbb{R}^{s(d)}$.

Exact SDP Relaxations

From Theorem 4.2, we can solve (P) by solving the semidefinite program (SDP), and we can solve $(D)^{\text{SOS}}$ by solving the semidefinite program (SDD). The semidefinite programs can be solved efficiently by interior point methods. So, (SDP) is the exact SDP relaxation for (P) and (SDD) is the exact relaxation of $(D)^{\text{SOS}}$.

Exact SDP Relaxations

We can illustrate our main results (Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2) by the following example:

Example 4.1.

Consider the following problem:

$$(P_0) \quad \min \quad x_1^8 + x_1 x_2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 - |x_1| - |x_2|$$

$$\text{subject to} \quad \begin{pmatrix} -x_1^2 - 4x_1 - 3 - x_2^2 & x_2 \\ x_2 & -x_2 \end{pmatrix} \succeq 0.$$

Let $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^8 + x_1 x_2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2$,

$$h(x) = \max_{\substack{u_1 \in [-1, 1] \\ u_2 \in [-1, 1]}} (u_1, u_2)^T (x_1, x_2) = \max_{(u_1, u_2) \in \text{co}M} (u_1, u_2)^T (x_1, x_2) =$$

$|x_1| + |x_2|$, where $M = \{(1, 1), (1, -1), (-1, 1), (-1, -1)\}$

References

- (4) M. Anjos and J. B. Lasserre, *Handbook of Semidefinite, Conic and Polynomial Optimization*, Springer, 2012.
- (5) E. G. Belousov and D. Klatte, A Frank-Wolfe type theorem for convex polynomial programs, *Comp. Optim. Appl.*, 22 (2002), 37-48.
- (6) R. I. Boț I. B. Hodrea, and G. Wanka, Some new Farkas-type results for inequality systems with DC functions, *J. Global Optim.*, 39 (2007), 595-608.

References

- (7) R.I. Bot and G. Wanka, Duality for multiobjective optimization problems with convex objective functions and DC constraints, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 315 (2006) 526-543.
- (8) S. Boyd and L. Vandenberghe, *Convex Optimization*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2004).
- (9) M. Coste, *An Introduction to Semialgebraic Geometry*, Universite de Rennes, 2002.
- (10) J. Currie, A free MATLAB Toolbox for Optimization, OPTI Toolbox, version 2.15, Available at <http://www.i2c2.aut.ac.nz/Wiki/OPTI/index.php/Main/HomePage>.

References

- (11) N. Dinh, V. Jeyakumar and G. M. Lee, Sequential Lagrangian Conditions for Convex Programs with Applications to Semidefinite Programming. *J. Optim. Th. Appl.*, 125 (2005), 85-112.
- (12) N. Dinh, B. Mordukhovich and T. T. A. Nghia, Qualification and optimality conditions for convex and DC programs with infinite constraints, *Acta Math. Vietnam.*, 34 (2009), 125-155.
- (13) N. Dinh, B. Mordukhovich and T. T. A. Nghia, Subdifferentials of value functions and optimality conditions for DC and bilevel infinite and semi-infinite programs, *Math. Program.*, 123 (2010), 101-138.

References

- (14) N. Dinh, T. T. A. Nghia and G. Vallet, A closedness condition and its applications to DC programs with convex constraints, *Optimization*, 59 (2010), 541-560.
- (15) N. Dinh, G. Vallet and T. T. A. Nghia, Farkas-type results and duality for DC programs with convex constraints, *J. Convex Anal.*, 15 (2008), 235-262.
- (16) D. H. Fang, C. Li and X. Q. Yang, Stable and total Fenchel duality for DC optimization problems in locally convex spaces, *SIAM J. Optim.*, 21 (2011), 730-760.

References

- (17) M.A. Goberna, V. Jeyakumar and N. Dinh, Dual characterizations of set containments with strict convex inequalities, *J. Global Optim.*, 34 (2006), 33-54.
- (18) M. A. Goberna and Margarita M.L. Rodríguez, Analyzing linear systems containing strict inequalities via evenly convex hulls, *Eur. Jour. Oper. Res.*, 169 (2006), 1079-1095.
- (19) V. Härter, C. Jansson and M. Lange, VSDP: A Matlab toolbox for verified semidefinite-quadratic-linear programming, Version 2012, Available at <http://www.ti3.tu-harburg.de/jansson/vsdp/>.

References

- (20) J.W. Helton and J.W. Nie, Semidefinite representation of convex sets. *Math. Program*, 122 (2010), no. 1, Ser. A, 21-64.
- (21) R. Horst and N. V. Thoai, DC programming: Overview, *J. Optim. Theory Appl.*, 103 (1999), 1-43.
- (22) V. Jeyakumar, Characterizing set containments involving infinite convex constraints and reverse-convex constraints, *SIAM J. Optim*, 13(4) (2003), 947-959.

References

- (23) V. Jeyakumar and N. Q. Huy, Global minimization of difference of quadratic and convex functions over box or binary constraints *Optim. Lett.* 2(2) (2008), 223-238.
- (24) V. Jeyakumar, G. M. Lee and N. Dinh, New sequential Lagrange multiplier conditions characterizing optimality without constraint qualification for convex programs, *SIAM J. Optim.* 14 (2003), 534-547. convexity and SOS-convexity, *SIAM J. Optim.*, 23(2) (2013), 811-833.
- (25) V. Jeyakumar, G. M. Lee and N. T. H. Linh, Generalized Farkas' lemma and gap-free duality for minimax DC optimization with polynomials and robust quadratic optimization, *J. Global Optim.*, 64 (2016), 679-702.

References

- (26) V. Jeyakumar and G. Li, Characterizing robust set containments and solutions of uncertain linear programs without qualifications, *Oper. Res. Lett.*, 38 (2010), 188-194.
- (27) V, Jeyakumar and G. Li, Exact SDP relaxations for classes of nonlinear semidefinite programming problems, *Oper. Res. Lett.*, 40(6) (2012), 529-536.
- (28) V. Jeyakumar, G Li and J. Vicente-Pérez, Robust SOS-convex polynomial programs: Exact SDP Relaxations, *Optim. Lett.* 9(1) (2014), 1-18.

References

- (29) V. Jeyakumar and J. Vicente-Pérez, V. Jeyakumar and J. Vicente-Pérez, Dual semidefinite programs without duality gaps for a class of convex minimax programs, *J. Optim. Theory Appl.*, 162(3) (2014), 735-753.
- (30) J. B. Lasserre, Convexity in semialgebraic geometry and polynomial optimization, *SIAM J. Optim.*, 19 (2009), 1995-2014.
- (31) J. B. Lasserre, *Moments, Positive Polynomials and Their Applications*, Imperial College Press, 2009.

References

- (32) B. Lemaire, Duality in reverse convex optimization, *SIAM J. Optim.*, 8 (1998), 1029-1037.
- (33) B. Lemaire and M. Volle, A general duality scheme for nonconvex minimization problems with a strict inequality constraint, *J. Global Optim.*, 13 (1998), 317-327.
- (34) B. Lemaire and M. Volle, Duality in DC programming. Generalized convexity, generalized monotonicity: recent results (Luminy, 1996), 331-345, *Nonconvex Optim. Appl.*, 27, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1998.

References

- (35) J. E. Martinez-Legaz and M. Volle, Duality in DC Programming: The case of several DC constraints, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 237 (1998), 657-671.
- (36) B. Mond and M. Schechter, Nondifferentiable symmetric duality, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 53 (1996), 177-188.
- (37) S. Prajna, A. Papachristodoulou, P. Seiler, and P. A. Parrilo. SOSTOOLS - Sum of Squares Optimization Toolbox, User's Guide, Version 2.00, Available at <http://control.ee.ethz.ch/~parrilo/sostools>, 2004.

References

- (38) B. Reznick, Extremal PSD forms with few terms, *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 45(2) (1978), 363-374.
- (39) J. F. Sturm, Using SeDuMi 1.02, a MATLAB toolbox for optimization over symmetric cones, 11-12:625-653, 1999. Available at <http://fewcal.kub.nl/sturm/software/sedumi.html>.
- (40) P. D. Tao, *Algorithms for solving a class of nonconvex optimization problems. Methods of subgradients*, FERMAT days 85: mathematics for optimization (Toulouse, 1985), 249-271, North-Holland Math. Stud., 129, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1986. (Reviewer: Wenci Yu)

References

- (41) P. D. Tao, Duality in D.C. (Difference of Convex Functions) Optimization. Subgradient Methods. In Trends in Mathematical Optimization, *Internat. Schriftenreihe Numer. Math.*, 84. Birkhäuser, Basel, (1988), 277-293.
- (42) J. F. Toland, Duality in nonconvex optimization, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 66 (1978), 399-415.
- (43) M. Volle, Concave Duality: Application to Problems Dealing with Difference of Functions, *Math. Programming* 41(2) (1988), (Ser. A), 261-278.
- (44) M. Volle, Duality Principles for Optimization Problems Dealing with the Difference of Vector-Valued Convex Mappings, *J. Optim. Theory Appl.*, 114 (2002), 223-241.

Thank you for your attention!